

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.7816 OF 2005

Nalini Mohan and Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

Mr.Sandip Singasane & Anr. ..Respondents

Mr.O.S.Kutty Advocate for Applicants
Ms.M.H.Mhatre A.P.P. for the State

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.
DATE : DECEMBER 8, 2005

P.C.

1. Heard. The grounds raised before this Court can be effectively answered by the Revisional Court.

2. As alternative efficacious remedy is available to the Applicants by way of revision before the Sessions Court in view of the decision of this Court in the case of **V.K.Jain & Ors. Vs. Pratap V.Padode** reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J.778, no interference is warranted.

3. This Application is disposed of with liberty to take recourse to remedy of revision, if so advised.

All questions are left open.

4. The Applicants to file such Revision Application within four weeks from today. Till such time, no precipitative action be taken against the Applicants. Revision Application, if filed within four weeks from today, be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

5. In case, there is any delay in filing Revision Application, Court will take into account the fact that the Applicants had first approached this Court, which period may be excused in computing delay.

6. Application disposed of on the above terms.

[A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.]